THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 19984 of 2005

DATED: 12.09.2005

Between:

Smt. K. Soujanya Reddy, W/o. K. Laxma Reddy,
Treasure in Amarachintha Lift Irrigation
Beneficiary Society, Regd.No. 184/02
R/o. Amarachintha Village, Atmakur Mandalam,
Mahaboobnagar District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Executive Engineer, A.P. State Irrigation
Development Corporation Division,
7-3-43, Industrial Estate, Mahaboobnagar,
Mahaboobnagar District and others.

… **RESPONDENTS**

ORDER:

Aggrieved by the inaction of the second respondent-District Collector, Mahabobnagar, in preventing the third respondent from widening/deepening the structure of the Amarachintha Lift Irrigation Scheme Canals, pursuant to the representations dated 25.05.2005 and 08.08.2005 of the ayacutdars of Amarachintha Lift Irrigation Beneficiary Society, this writ petition is filed.

The case of the petitioner is that she is the Treasurer in Amarachintha Lift Irrigation Beneficiary Society. The first respondent launched a scheme called as Amarachintha Lift Irrigation Scheme for the purpose of irrigating 4800 acres of

ayacut covering 8 villages, namely, Amarachintha, Moolamalla, Mastipur, Pamreddypalli, Khanpur, Singampet, Somasagar and Atmaur and the first respondent had handed over it to the Amarachintha Lift Irrigiation Beneficiary Society (for short “the Society”). The members of the said scheme are paying the requisite fee to the extent of water used by them. While so, the members of the third respondent-society, which is a water users Association of Peddacheruvu, Amarachintha Village, without any manner of right, are changing the original structure of the canals by widening and deepening the same. Therefore, the society made representations dated 25.05.2005 and 08.08.2005 to the second respondent to take appropriate action against the third respondent, but in vain. Hence this writ petition.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Irrigation appearing for respondents 1 and 2.

Appropriate decision has to be taken by the second respondent on the representations of the petitioner in accordance with law.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to take appropriate decision on the representations of the petitioner dated 25.05.2005 and 08.08.2005 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

12.09.2005

sh